1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 CASE NO. C13-5948 RJB TIMOTHY DIETZ, 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP. OF ORDER REGARDING AMENDED 14 WASHINGTON; WELLS FARGO HOME COMPLAINT AND INITIAL MORTGAGE; WELLS FARGO DISCLOSURES, JOINT STATUS 15 BANK, N.A.; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REPORT, AND EARLY REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.; **SETTLEMENT** 16 MERSCORP, INC.; McCARTHY & HOLTHUS LLP; DOE DEFENDANTS 1-17 20, 18 Defendants. 19 This matter comes before the Court on review of the record. Pursuant to this Court's 20 Order (Dkt. 15), on February 7, 2014, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint. Dkts. 18 and 19. 21 On February 10, 2014, Plaintiff filed a second Amended Complaint. Dkt. 20. Plaintiff's second 22 Amended Complaint (Dkt 20) will be considered the operative complaint. Court rules require 23 24

1	that Plaintiff serve summons and the Amended Complaint on all named Defendants. Fed. R.
2	Civ. P. 4.
3	The initial scheduling dates set forth in Dkt. 3 are renoted as follows: ¹
4	Deadline for FRCP 26(f) Conference: 4/28/2014
5	Initial Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1): 5/5/2014
6	Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan as Required by FRCP 26(f)
7	and Local Civil Rule 26(f): 5/12/2014
8	Dated this 11 TH of February, 2014.
9	Political Control of the Control of
10	Mary 10 yan
11	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	¹ This Order provides the additional time sought in Plaintiff's Motion for an extension of
24	time. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion (Dkt. 16) is MOOT.